Relationships within the Acanthocolpidae Lühe,1906 and their place among the Digenea

Rodney A. Bray^{1*}, Bonnie L. Webster¹, Pierre Bartoli² and D. Timothy J. Littlewood^{1*}

¹Department of Zoology, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K., ²Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille, UMR 6540 CNRS, DIMAR, Campus Universitaire de Luminy, Case 901, 13288 Marseille Cédex 9, France

Abstract

The phylogeny of the Acanthocolpidae, a family of marine fish parasites, was assessed using SSU and LSU rDNA and combined sequences of thirteen putative species. *Cableia pudica* is found to be a basal monorchiid, not an acanthocolpid. The remaining species form a monophylum only if the marine mammal parasite, the brachycladiid *Zalophotrema hepaticum* is included. The Acanthocolpidae comprises two clades (*Zalophotrema* (*Pleorchis*, *Tormopsolus*)) and (*Stephanostomum*). Some morphological similarities were detected between *Zalophotrema* and *Pleorchis*, but *Tormopsolus* is morphologically more similar to *Stephanostomum*. Nine species of the large genus *Stephanostomum* were studied, including two species from two host species each, and the relationships inferred from the analysis of sequences were poorly reflected in morphological or biological characteristics. Evidence from sister taxa indicates that the parasites of piscivorous marine mammals, the Brachycladiidae, are derived from piscivorous marine fish parasites.

Key words

Digenea, Acanthocolpidae, SSU and LSU rDNA, phylogenetic analysis, Cableia, Pleorchis, Stephanostomum, Tormopsolus, Zalophotrema

Introduction

Acanthocolpid digeneans, as currently recognised, are parasites mainly of marine teleost fishes, occasionally possibly of sea snakes. They have a rather generalised plagiorchiidan (sensu Olson et al. 2003) morphology with a spinous tegument, no external seminal vesicle and a uterine seminal receptacle. The Acanthocolpidae was erected by Lühe (1906), as a subfamily, to include the genera Acanthocolpus Lühe, 1906, Stephanochasmus Looss, 1900 (now considered the junior synonym of Stephanostomum Looss, 1899) and Deropristis Odhner, 1902, and the species *Distomum semiarmatum* Molin, 1858. This taxon was first elevated to family rank by Lühe (1909) and since then has accumulated genera somewhat haphazardly, becoming a 'catch-all group' for worms with these generalised features and no obvious affinities to other groups. As Bray (2005a) pointed out in his key, several genera are placed in it 'with considerable lack of confidence'. Of the taxa originally placed in the family, Deropristis and Distomum semiarmatum are now considered members of the family Deropristidae Cable et Hunninen, 1942 (Choudhury and Dick 1998, Bray 2005b). The family is, therefore, based mainly around the fairly well known type-genus Acanthocolpus, and the rather better known genus Stephanostomum, which now has some 117 nominal species (Bray and Cribb 2003, Bray and Reimer 2004). This latter genus is also the best known in terms of the life cycle, which has been recognised as the usual pattern for the family. The first intermediate hosts are prosobranch gastropods, in which rediae develop. The oculate, caudate cercariae emerge (stylets are usually reported) and penetrate a large number of teleost species, where they encyst in the flesh. The definitive hosts are piscivorous teleosts which acquire the worms by ingestion of the second intermediate hosts (Martin 1939, Wolfgang 1955, MacKenzie and Liversidge 1975, Køie 1978, Stunkard 1961). The recent findings of Stephanostomum metacercariae in bivalve molluscs (Madhavi and Shaheem 1993, Pérez-Urbiola and Martínez-Díaz 2001) have shown that the pattern is not invariant. As was stated above, many genera have been added to the family, such that in Bray's (2005a) recent key 17 genera are included. This number reflects the family's status as a 'catch-all group', and several genera were included purely for convenience of identification. In one case, Cableia Sogandares-Bernal, 1959, molecular evidence was already available that the genus was misplaced (Cribb et al. 2001, Olson et al. 2003). The status of the genera included in this molecular study will be discussed below, but several other genera, e.g. Ophiotreminoides Coil et Kuntz, 1960 (from sea snakes - Coil and Kuntz 1960), Gissutrema Machida et Kamegai, 1997 (from a primitive teleost - Machida and Kamegai 1997), Pseudolepidapedon Yamaguti, 1938 (see Bray et al. 1996), Spinoplagioporus Skrjabin et Koval, 1958 (from holocephalans - Gaevskaya 2002) and Lepidauchen Nicoll, 1913 (see Bray and Bartoli 1996), are equivocal acanthocolpids and are in need of further study. On the other hand, several genera not included in this study are probably genuine acanthocolpids, based on their morphological similarity to Acanthocolpus and Stephanostomum. These are Monostephanostomum Kruse, 1979, Stephanostomoides Mamaev et Oshmarin, 1966, Manteria Caballero, 1950, Acaenodera Manter et Pritchard, 1960, Pseudacaenodera Yamaguti, 1965 and Venusicola Bray et Cribb, 2000. The present study presents molecular evidence for the relationships and status of five putative acanthocolpid genera.

Some commercially important fishes, in particular flatfishes, may be affected by acanthocolpid metacercariae encysted in the soft tissues, mainly in the musculature, fins and skin (MacKenzie and Liversidge 1975, Sommerville 1981), which cause cosmetic problems for fisheries. Metacercariae also occur in the pericardial cavity of farmed rainbow trout under certain conditions causing mortality (McGladdery 1999) and in elvers they cause severe distension of the thoracic region impeding swimming (Oliveira and Campbell 1998).

Of biological interest is the relationship between acanthocolpids and the marine mammal parasites, the Brachycladiidae Odhner, 1905 (syn. Campulidae Odhner, 1926) (see Gibson 2005). The close relationship was predicted by Cable (1974) and inferred by the molecular studies of Fernández *et al.* (1998a, b), Cribb *et al.* (2001) and Olson *et al.* (2003) and from the additional morphological studies of Gibson (2002, 2005). To further explore this relationship a brachycladiid has been included in this study.

The position of the Acanthocolpidae in the Digenea has not been well established. In the latest review, the "Keys to the Trematoda, vol. 2" (Jones *et al.* 2005), it is placed in the superfamily Lepocreadioidea Odhner, 1905. Even as the volume was in preparation it was clear to the authors that the taxon was artificial, and did not represent the inferences to be taken from molecular results (see Bray 2005c). Earlier molecular results along with the analysis described here will be discussed below.

Here the relationships of acanthocolpids among the Digenea are assessed by building on a larger data set of complete small subunit (SSU) and partial large subunit (LSU) ribosomal DNA (rDNA) sequences used recently for estimating a digenean phylogeny (Olson *et al.* 2003). New molecular sequences for 13 species have been added to the two published sequences of acanthocolpids and a broad range of available digenean sequences have been selected allowing us to investigate the monophyly of the Acanthocolpidae and the likely sister group(s) of its constituent taxa.

Materials and methods

Choice of taxa and outgroups

Table I indicates the taxa chosen, including those GenBank accession numbers for published and new sequences. Thirteen acanthocolpid species (representing four genera) were sampled and added to a data set of 62 digeneans. The sampling encompassed those taxa within a clade of the Plagiorchiida, where *Cableia* and *Stephanostomum baccatum* (Nicoll, 1907) fell in a previous molecular study (Olson *et al.* 2003). In this way, the best possible estimate of the position of these and related taxa was possible. In order to root the phylogenetic trees species of the following genera were chosen: *Paragylia-uchen* Yamaguti, 1934, *Enenterum* Linton, 1910, *Koseiria* Nagaty, 1942, *Gorgocephalus* Manter, 1966, and *Preptetos* Pritchard, 1960.

DNA extraction, gene amplification and gene sequencing

Following the protocols found in Olson *et al.* (2003), newly collected specimens were fixed live in the field using 95–100% EtOH and stored in 95% EtOH at –20°C. Ethanol in the tissue samples was replaced with 1 M Tris-EDTA (pH 8) buffer via repeated washings and the gDNA was extracted using a Qiagen[®] DNeasyTM tissue kit following manufacturer-recommended protocols; the final elution volume was 200 μ l. In some cases, the gDNA was further concentrated to a volume of ~20 μ l using Millipore Microcon[®] columns.

Twenty five µl PCR amplifications were performed using Ready-To-Go[™] (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) PCR beads (each containing ~1.5 units Taq DNA polymerase, 10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 9, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM of each dNTP and stabilizers, including BSA), 1 µl of genomic extract and 10 mM of each PCR primer using the following thermocycling profile: 3 min denaturation hold at 94°C, 40 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 56°C, 2 min at 72°C, and 7 min extension hold at 72°C. Near-complete SSU rDNA sequences (~1,800 bps) were amplified using primers Worm-A and Worm-B (see Littlewood and Olson 2001, for primer definitions) and partial (domains D1-D3; ~1,400 bps) lsrDNA sequences were amplified using primers LSU-5 (5'-TAG GTC GAC CCG CTG AAY TTA AGC A-3') and 1500R (5'-GCT ATC CTG AGG GAA ACT TCG-3'). PCR amplicons were either gel-excised or purified directly using Qiagen Qiaquick[™] columns, cycle-sequenced from both strands using ABI BigDveTM chemistry, alcohol-precipitated, and run on an ABI Prism 377TM automated sequencer. The ssrDNA products were sequenced in both directions using the two PCR primers and a variety of internal primers (Littlewood and Olson 2001),

and LSU rDNA products were sequenced using the two PCR primers and internal primers 300F (5'-CAA GTA CCG TGA GGG AAA GTT G-3') and ECD2 (5'-CTT GGT CCG TGT TTC AAG ACG GG-3'), as well as primers 400R (5'-GCA GCT TGA CTA CAC CCG-3') and 900F (5'-CCG TCT TGA AAC ACG GAC CAA G-3') in some cases. Contiguous sequences were assembled and edited using SequencherTM (GeneCodes Corp., ver. 3.1.1) and submitted to GenBank under accession numbers DQ248202-14 (SSU rDNA) and DQ248215-27 (LSU rDNA).

Gene alignment and phylogenetic analysis

New sequences of SSU and LSU rDNA were combined with previously published and aligned sequences (Olson *et al.* 2003). The new sequences were incorporated into the existing alignments with adjustments to the alignments made by eye using MacClade (Maddison and Maddison 2000). Original alignments were made with reference to secondary structure features as outlined in Olson *et al.* (2003), and new sequences did not significantly disrupt the alignment with indels. Sequences for both genes were concatenated in MacClade and regions of ambiguous alignment defined in a character exclusion set.

We have deposited the complete alignments of ssrDNA and lsrDNA with EBI and each is available by anonymous FTP from FTP.EBI.AC.UK in directory /pub/databases/ embl/align and via the EMBLALIGN database via SRS at http://srs.ebi.ac.uk, under the following accessions ALIGN_000954 (SSU rDNA) and ALIGN_000955 (LSU rDNA). Exclusion sets are added as notes and the alignments may be adapted as a NEXUS file.

SSU and LSU datasets were analysed individually and combined, using Bayesian inference methods with MrBayes (Huelsenbeck 2000), and maximum parsimony with PAUP* (Swofford 2002) in order to estimate the phylogenetic placement of the acanthocolpids. Prior to Bayesian inference Modeltest (Posada and Crandall 1998) was used to estimate the best model of nucleotide substitution. In each case this was the general time reversible model, with estimates of invariant sites and gamma distributed among site rate variation (GTR+ I+G). Posterior probabilities were approximated over 5,000,000 generations via four simultaneous Markov Chain Monte Carlo chains (nchains = 4) with every 1000th tree saved. Default values were used for the MCMC parameters. Consensus trees with mean branch lengths were constructed using the 'sumt' command option and ignoring the initial topologies saved during 'burn in'; the initial n-generations before log-likelihood values and substitution parameters plateau. For each analysis we plotted log-likelihood values against generation number and used a burn in of 1,000 for estimating sumt and sump. For combined analyses, parameter values for GTR+I+G were estimated independently for the SSU and LSU partitions. Maximum parsimony analyses were conducted using a heuristic search strategy with 100 search replicates, random-addition taxon sampling, tree-bisectionreconnection branch-swapping, with all characters run unordered with equal weights and with gaps treated as missing data. Nodal support was estimated by bootstrapping (n = 1000).

Results

New sequences for SSU and LSU rDNA have been deposited in GenBank (see Table I). The aligned SSU dataset was comprised of 2,111 positions, of which 1,670 were unambiguously alignable and of these 1,151 were constant and 129 informative under the principles of parsimony. The aligned LSU dataset was comprised of 1,658 positions, of which 1,080 were unambiguously alignable and of these 456 were constant and 110 informative under the principles of parsimony.

Individual genes

Maximum parsimony (MP) found 456 equally parsimonious trees with SSU, and 12 equally parsimonious trees with LSU rDNA, respectively. The strict consensus topologies for SSU and LSU were congruent in topology concerning the membership of major clades (Monorchioidea, Gorgoderoidea, Plagiorchioidea, Microphalloidea, and the acanthocolpids plus other allocreadioids (sensu Olson et al. 2003)), both between datasets and between solutions estimated by parsimony and Bayesian inference (BI). Although these major clades were well supported with high bootstrap values (>75%) and high posterior probabilities (100%) deeper nodes in the trees were poorly supported and collapsed in the strict consensus MP solutions. Membership of the major clades was identical to that of the combined solution, as discussed below in detail. Concerning the acanthocolpids specifically, Cableia was sister taxon to a clade comprised of *Diplomonorchis* Thomas, 1959, Lasiotocus Looss in Odhner, 1911 (previously known as Ancylocoelium Nicoll, 1912 - see Bartoli and Bray 2004) and Provitellus Dove et Cribb, 1998, with Lissorchis Magath, 1917 sister to these taxa, for all data partitions and all methods of analysis. A monophyletic clade of Stephanostomum was strongly and consistently supported and the sister group to this clade was a clade comprised of the Brachycladiidae (Zalophotrema), Pleorchis and Tormopsolus for the LSU dataset and all analyses. With SSU, Zalophotrema was resolved as the sister taxon to Stephanostomum. Although less well resolved, the relationships found with the individual genes (trees not shown) are almost completely congruent with the combined analyses.

Combined genes

Figure 1 illustrates the tree topology found by Bayesian inference, with nodal support indicated by posterior probabilities and bootstrap percentage values (>50%) from the parsimony analysis. Maximum parsimony found a single tree (length = 5717, CI = 0.319, RI = 0.561) that was largely congruent in terms of general patterns of topology and nodal support. Almost all clades with 100% posterior probabilities were resolved with MP. Relationships within and between acanthoTable I. List of taxa and sequences used in this study

^		GenBank accession	
Species and classification	Source: host/locality —	SSU	LSU
Order Plagiorchiida Family Enenteridae			
Enenterum aureum Linton, 1910	Kyphosus vaigiensis. Moorea, French Polynesia	AY222124	AY222232
Koseiria xishaense Gu et Shen, 1983	Kyphosus vaigiensis, HI, Australia	AY222125	AY222233
Family Gorgocephalidae	<i>y</i>		
Gorgocephalus kyphosi Manter, 1966 Family Gyliauchenidae	Kyphosus vaigiensis, LI, Australia	AY222126	AY222234
Paragyliauchen arusettae Machida, 1984	Pomacanthus sexstriatus, Ningaloo, W. Australia	AY222127	AY222235
Promototog og hallongi Dritchard 1060	Nago ulaminai III Anatrolio	A 1207562	1222222
Prepietos caballeroi Filicitatu, 1900	Naso viamingi, fii, Australia	AJ20/303	AT 222250
Frepletos trutta (Linton, 1907)	Ocyurus chrysurus, Font Royal, Jainaica	A1222120	A1222257
Homalometron annatum (MacCallum, 1805)	Lanomis migralanhus Desangoula P	AV222120	42222241
Homatometron armatum (MacCaliuli, 1895)	Mississippi, U.S.A.	AT 222150	A1222241
Homalometron synagris (Yamaguti, 1953)	Scolopsis monogramma, HI, Australia	AJ287523	AY222243
Neoapocreadium splendens Cribb et Bray, 1999	Scolopsis monogramma, LI, Australia	AJ287543	AY222242
Schistorchis zancli Hanson, 1953	Zanclus cornutus, Opunohu Bay, Moorea	AY222129	AY222240
Family Lissorchildae			
Lissorchis kritskyi Barnhart et Powell, 1979	Carpioldes cyprinus, Pascagoula R., Mississippi, U.S.A.	AY222136	AY222250
Family Monorchiidae			
Lasiotocus typicus (Nicoll, 1912)	Trachurus trachurus, North Sea, U.K.	AJ287474	AY222254
Diplomonorchis leiostomi Hopkins, 1941	Leiostomus xanthurus, Gulf of Mexico, Mississippi, U.S.A.	AY222137	AY222252
Provitellus turrum Dove et Cribb, 1998	Pseudocaranx dentex, HI, Australia	AJ287566	AY222253
Family Opecoelidae			
Gaevskajatrema halosauropsi Bray et Campbell, 1996	Halosauropsis macrochir, Goban Spur, NE Atlantic	AJ287514	AY222207
Macvicaria macassarensis (Yamaguti, 1952)	Lethrinus miniatus, HI, Australia	AJ287533	AY222208
Peracreadium idoneum (Nicoll, 1909) Family Opistholebetidae	Anarhichas lupus, North Sea, U.K.	AJ287558	AY222209
Maculifer sp.	Diodon hystrix, HI, Australia	AY222109	AY222211
Opistholebes amplicoelus Nicoll, 1915	Tetractenos hamiltoni, SI, Australia	AJ287550	AY222210
Family Brachycladiidae Zalophotrema hepaticum Stunkard et Alvey, 1929	Zalophus californianus, California, U.S.A.	AJ224884	AY222255
Family Omphalometridae			
Rubenstrema exasperatum (Rudolphi, 1819) Family Pachypsolidae	Crocidura leucodon, Bulgaria	AJ287572	AY222275
Pachypsolus irroratus (Rudolphi, 1819)	Lepidochelys olivacea, Oaxaca, Mexico	AJ287554	AY222274
Faining Flagiorennoae	Dana antonhainna Nobroglio U.S.A	A 1207520	AV222274
Church alming quieta (Stafford 1000)	Rang catesbeigng Nebroska, U.S.A.	AJ26/320	AT 222274
Skrighinggagg gimilig (Looss, 1900)	Rana ridibunda Kokoliono Pulgorio	AJ207520	AT 222200
Esmily Disuragonidae	Kuna Haibunua, Kokaijane, Buigana	AJ20/3/3	A1222219
Plauroganas clavigar (Rudolphi 1810)	Rana temporaria Kiev Region Ukraine	AV222152	AE151025
Pleurogenoides medians (Olsson 1876)	Rana lessonae Kiev Region Ukraine	AY222152	AF433670
Family Prosthogonimidae	Runa tessonae, Riev Region, Oktaine	111 222 13 1	111 155070
Prosthogonimus ovatus (Rudolphi 1803)	Pica nica Chernigiy Region Ukraine	AY222149	AF151928
Schistogonimus rarus (Braun 1901)	Anas auerauedula Kherson Region Ukraine	AY222150	AY116869
Family Telorchiidae	The que que and the set of the group of the group of the set of th	111 === 100	111 110000
<i>Onisthioglyphe range</i> (Froelich 1791)	Rana arvalis Ivano-Frankivsk Region Ukraine	AY222157	AF151929
Telorchis assula (Dujardin 1845)	Natrix natrix Kiev Region Ukraine	AY222156	AF151915
Family Brachycoeliidae			111 10 19 10
Brachycoelium salamandrae (Froelich, 1789)	Salamandra salamandra, Zakarpatska Region,	AY222160	AF151935
Mesocoelium sp	Bufo marinus Brisbane Australia	A 1287536	AY222277
Family Cenhalogonimidae	2.95 martino, 11100mo, rustulla	10201000	1
Cephalogonimus retusus (Duiardin 1845)	Rana ridibunda Kokaliane Bulgaria	AJ287489	AY222276
Family Choanocotylidae	, rene and , renarjune, Durgunu	1	
Choanocotyle hobbsi Platt et Tkach, 2003	Chelodina oblonga, Perth, Australia	AY116868	AY116865
<i>Choanocotyle nematoides</i> Jue Sue et Platt, 1998 Family Eucotylidae	Emydura sp., New South Wales, Australia	AY116867	AY116862
Tanaisia fedtschenkoi Skrjabin, 1924 Family Zoogonidae	Anas platyrhynchos, Kherson Region, Ukraine	AY222154	AY116870

Deretrema nahaense Yamaguti, 1942	Thalassoma lunare, LI, Australia	AJ287498	AY222273
Diphterostomum sp.	Scolopsis monogramma, HI, Australia	AY222153	AY222272
Lepidophyllum steenstrupi Odhner, 1902	Anarhichas lupus, North Sea, U.K.	AJ287530	AY157175
Zoogonoides viviparus (Olsson, 1868)	Callionymus lyra, North Sea, U.K.	AJ287590	AY222271
Family Auridistomidae			
Auridistomum chelydrae (Stafford, 1900)	Chelydra serpentina, Mississippi, U.S.A.	AY222159	AY116872
Family Macroderoididae			
Macroderoides typicus (Winfield, 1929)	Lepisosteus platostomus, Reelfoot Lake, TN, U.S.A.	AY222158	AF433673
Family Faustulidae		1005456	11/2222
Antorchis pomacanthi (Hafeezullah et	Pomacanthus sexstriatus, HI, Australia	AJ28/4/6	AY222268
Siddiqi, 1970)	Colourde en multifusciata Manatan Dev. Australia	A 1207402	AV22220
Triagen communication 1982	Selenotoca multifasciata, Moreton Bay, Australia	AJ28/482	AY 222209
Ingonocryptus conus Martin, 1958	Arothron nigropunctatus, HI, Australia	AJ28/384	AY 2222/0
Lacithedendrium linstowi Dollfus 1031	Nuctalus noctula Sumu Perion Ilbraine	AV222147	AE151010
Prosthodendrium longiforme (Bhalerao, 1926)	Myotis daubantoni Kiev Region Ukraine	AV222147	AF151919
Family Microphallidae	<i>Myous adubentoni</i> , Kiev Region, Oktaine	A1222140	AI 131921
Maritrema oocysta Lebour 1907	Hydrobia ulyae Belfast Lough Northern Ireland	A 1287534	AY220630
Microphallus fusiformis Reimer 1963	Hydrobia ulvae, Belfast Lough, Northern Ireland	A 1287531	AY220633
Microphallus primas (Jägerskiöld 1908)	Carcinus maenus Belfast Lough Northern Ireland	A I287541	AY220627
Family Haploporidae	Caremas machas, Benast Bough, Porthern Heland	16207011	111220027
Hapladena nasonis Yamaguti, 1970	Naso unicornis. LI. Australia	AY222146	AY222265
Pseudomegasolena ishigakiensis	Scarus rivulatus, HI, Australia	AJ287569	AY222266
Machida et Kamiya, 1976			
Family Atractotrematidae			
Atractotrema sigani Durio et Manter, 1969	Siganus lineatus, LI, Australia	AJ287479	AY222267
Family Troglotrematidae	0 , , ,		
Nanophyetus salmincola Chapin, 1927	Oncorhynchus mykiss, Benton Co., Oregon, U.S.A.	AY222138	AY116873
Nephrotrema truncatum (Leuckart, 1842)	Neomys anomalus, Zakarpatska Region, Ukraine	AY222139	AF151936
Family Paragonimidae			
Paragonimus iloktsuenensis Chen, 1940	Rattus norvegicus, Amami Island, Japan	AY222141	AY116875
Paragonimus westermani (Kerbert, 1878)	Canis familiaris, Hyogo, Japan	AY222140	AY116874
Family Orchipedidae			
Orchipedum tracheicola Braun, 1901	Cygnus olor, Drumpellier Loch, Scotland	AJ287551	AY222258
Family Encyclometridae			
Encyclometra colubrimurorum (Rudolphi, 1819)	Natrix natrix, Kiev Region, Ukraine	AY222142	AF184254
Family Dicrocoeliidae		11/2021111	11/2222
Brachylecithum lobatum (Railliet, 1900)	Corvus corone, Zahlinice, Czech Republic	AY222144	AY 222260
Dicrocoelium dendriticum (Rudolphi, 1819)	Ovis aries, Spain	Y11236	AY 222261
Lyperosomum collurionis (Skrjabin et	Sylvia atricapilla, Zaninice, Czech Republic	AY 222143	AY 222259
Issanschikoll, 1927)			
Degeneria halosauri (Poll 1897)	Halogaurongig magrochin NE Atlantic Occan	A 1297407	AV222257
Corgodara evanoidas (Zeder, 1800)	Rana ridibunda Sofia Bulgaria	AJ20/49/ AJ207510	AT 222237
Nagmia floridensis Markell 1953	Rhinoptera honasus East Shin Island	AV222145	ΔV222257
Nugmu for uensis Marken, 1955	Mississinni USA	111222140	111222202
Xvstretrum sp	Sufflamen chrysopterus LI Australia	AJ287588	AY222263
Family Acanthocolpidae	suggement en joep ter us, 21, 1 fast and	1.02070000	111
<i>Cableia pudica</i> Bray, Cribb et Barker, 1996	Cantherines pardalis, HI, Australia	AJ287486	AY222251
Pleorchis polvorchis (Stossich, 1889)	Sciaena umbra, Scandola, Corsica	§DO248202	§DO248215
Pleorchis uku Yamaguti, 1970	Aprion virescens, LI, Australia	§DQ248203	§DQ248216
Tormopsolus orientalis (Yamaguti, 1934)	Seriola dumerili, Scandola, Corsica	§DQ248204	§DQ248217
Stephanostomum baccatum (Nicoll, 1907)	Eutrigla gurnardus, North Sea, U.K.	AJ287577	AY222256
Stephanostomum baccatum (Nicoll, 1907)	Hippoglossus hippoglossus, North Sea, U.K.	§DQ248205	§DQ248218
Stephanostomum cf. uku Yamaguti, 1970	Aprion virescens, LI, Australia	§DQ248206	§DQ248219
Stephanostomum tantabiddii Bray et Cribb, 2004	Carangoides fulvoguttatus, Ningaloo, Australia	§DQ248207	§DQ248220
Stephanostomum gaidropsari Bartoli et Bray, 2001	Gaidropsarus mediterraneus, Marseille, France	§DQ248208	§DQ248221
Stephanostomum pristis (Deslongchamps, 1824)	Phycis phycis, Scandola, Corsica	§DQ248209	§DQ248222
Stephanostomum interruptum Sparks et	Menticirrhus americanus, Gulf of Mexico,	§DQ248210	§DQ248223
Thatcher, 1958	Mississippi, U.S.A.		
Stephanostomum minutum (Looss, 1901)	Uranoscopus scaber, Scandola, Corsica	§DQ248211	§DQ248224
Stephanostomum bicoronatum (Stossich, 1883)	Sciaena umbra, Scandola, Corsica	§DQ248212	§DQ248225
Stephanostomum cesticillum (Molin, 1858)	Lopnius piscatorius, Scandola, Corsica	SDQ248213	§DQ248226
Siephanosiomum CL cesticilium (NIOIIn, 1858)	Leus juber, Scandola, Corsica	SDQ248214	SDQ24822/

§Indicates sequences newly characterised for this study; HI – Heron Island, Great Barrier Reef; LI – Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef; SI – Stradbroke Island, Queensland. Further details in text and associated with accession numbers on GenBank.



Fig. 1. Phylogeny of selected Digenea estimated from combined complete SSU and partial LSU rDNA, indicating the position of the Acanthocolpidae. The tree is derived from Bayesian inference, employing the GTR+I+G model of substitution for each data partition, with nodal support provided by posterior probabilities (number above line or only number) and maximum parsimony bootstrap percentages (not shown if <50%); see text for further details

colpids and their sister taxa were identical. As with the individual analyses, *Cableia* failed to be resolved with the other putative acanthocolpids. *Stephanostomum* is a strongly monophyletic clade with *S. baccatum* sister species to all other members of the genus. The two samples of *S. baccatum* were slightly different in sequence for SSU (0.4%) only. The samples of *S. cesticillum* (Molin, 1858) and *S. cf. cesticillum* were identical suggesting they are in fact the same species. *Stephanostomum* was resolved as the sister group to a clade consisting of *Zalophotrema*, *Tormopsolus* and *Pleorchis*. Relationships among species of *Stephanostomum* were reasonably well supported and tree topologies were the same between methods of analysis.

The Allocreadioidea, as recognised by Olson *et al.* (2003), comprising the Opecoelidae, Opistholebetidae, Brachycladiidae and Acanthocolpidae was not resolved as a monophyletic clade. A paraphyletic Opecoelidae was sister group to the Opistholebetidae, but these in turn were poorly resolved in relation to other taxa. Indeed, the poor nodal support between the major clades of allocreadioids suggests the clade requires greater sampling of constituent families beyond just the acanthocolpids. Relationships within the superfamilies were much the same as was resolved by Olson *et al.* (2003).

Discussion

As stated above, the position of the Acanthocolpidae in the Digenea has not been well established. The revised classification of the Digenea presented by Olson et al. (2003) indicated that the Acanthocolpidae + Brachycladiidae is the sister group to the Opecoelidae + Opistholebetidae (forming the superfamily Allocreadioidea), based on Bayesian inference of combined ssrDNA and lsrDNA. In the classification of Olson et al. this superfamily is flanked, basally, by the Gorgoderoidea and the sister taxon is Plagiorchioidea + Microphalloidea. On the detailed species level phylogram, however, the group is flanked, basally, by the Lepocreadioidea (furthest) and Monorchioidea (closest) and, as the sister taxon, by the remainder of the Xiphidiata. The result of the analysis presented here (Fig. 1) is rather different in that the sister group of the Acanthocolpidae + Brachycladiidae is the group (Opecoelidae + Opistholebetidae) + Plagiorchioidea + Microphalloidae (all sensu Olson et al. 2003), i.e. the Acanthocolpidae is not in the Allocreadioidea. There are two unresolved sister groups to the clade containing the Acanthocolpidae, most of the Gorgoderoidea sensu Olson et al. (2003) and the Haploporidae + Atractotrematidae. The latter group was included within the Gorgoderoidea by Olson et al. (2003). Implications of this topology are discussed below, in particular in its relevance to the evolution of host relationships.

Only one of the putative acanthocolpid genera in this study is clearly misplaced. *Cableia* was originally placed in the Lepocreadiidae (see Sogandares-Bernal 1959) and was moved to the Opecoelidae (see Yamaguti 1971) and the Enenteridae (see Gibson and Bray 1982), before being placed '*pro* tem' in the Acanthocolpidae by Bray et al. (1996). It is now clear that molecular evidence points to it being close to the Monorchiidae (see Cribb et al. 2001) and, indeed, closer to the Monorchiidae than is the Lissorchiidae (see Olson et al. 2003, present study) (Fig. 1). There is no evidence that *Cableia* has the elaborate, often viciously spined male and female terminal genitalia, nor the specialised terminal organ as found in the female system of monorchiids. Despite the name, some monorchiids have two testes and members of this family share with Cableia a spiny tegument and a uterine seminal receptacle (see Yamaguti 1971, Dove and Cribb 1998). The monorchiid vitellarium is usually less extensive than that of Cableia. Thus, morphologically Cableia cannot be considered to fit comfortably into the current concept of the Monorchiidae. Lissorchiids are parasites of freshwater fishes, mainly Cypriniformes, and are reported only from North America, the Palaearctic region, India and South-eastern Asia. They usually have a spinous tegument, spines in the ejaculatory duct and metraterm, a lateral genital pore and a restricted follicular vitellarium. Many species have a single testis, but with two vasa efferentia clearly indicating a reduction from two (Shimazu 1992). Cableia, therefore, shows both morphological and biological distinction from the Lissorchiidae. Only two species are known in Cableia, the type-species C. trigoni Sogandares-Bernal, 1959 and the species used in this study, C. pudica Bray, Cribb et Barker, 1996. Including the present study, three molecular analyses have now placed Cableia in the same position relative to the Monorchiidae, providing strong evidence that it is neither a lepocreadiid, opecoelid, enenterid nor acanthocolpid. Using the molecular phylograms as evidence for taxonomic decisions, there are two alternative solutions to the placement of Cableia. It can either be considered a basal monorchiid or it can be the type-genus of a new family. At present we consider the former solution to be preferable, particularly as monogeneric families say little useful about relationships. We are, therefore, considering Cableia a basal and morphologically highly atypical, monorchiid. Cableia species are known only from tetradontiform fishes. The host of the specimens sequenced is the monacanthid Cantherhines pardalis, a benthic feeder (Froese and Pauly 2005), further evidence that this form is not an acanthocolpid.

The Acanthocolpidae is recovered as a monophyletic group in our study only if the Brachycladiidae is included within it. The clade (*Zalophotrema* (*Tormopsolus*, *Pleorchis*)) is recovered as a sister group to the genus *Stephanostomum*. More evidence would be needed before splitting this clade from the Acanthocolpidae, particularly as *Tormopsolus*, represented here by *T. orientalis* Yamaguti, 1934 (see Bartoli *et al.* 2004a) is morphologically similar to *Stephanostomum*. As the type-genus of the Acanthocolpidae is not represented in our study, the family is represented mainly by *Stephanostomum* spp.; therefore, it is quite conceivable that *Acanthocolpus* is closer to the clade (*Zalophotrema* (*Tormopsolus*, *Pleorchis*)), forming taxa which would reflect the subfamilies Acanthocolpinae and Stephanostominae as recognised by Yamaguti (1958). An alternative is to consider the Brachycladi-

idae as a synonym of the Acanthocolpidae. Zalophotrema hepaticum Stunkard et Alvey, 1929, the brachycladiid representative in this study, is found in the Californian sealion Zalophus californianus. It is a large, robust worm (up to 21 mm long) (Stunkard and Alvey 1930, Pérez-Ponce de León and Ramírez Lezama 1991), but in general morphology is similar to the Acanthocolpidae, with a spinous tegument, no external seminal vesicle and a uterine seminal receptacle. It shares with the Pleorchis spp. an H-shaped intestine, but not the numerous testes, although the testes are deeply lobed. Other brachycladiids may lack the H-shaped intestine (the two nasitrematine genera), but all eight brachycladiine genera have this, or a similar, character (Gibson 2005). Virtually nothing is known of the life cycle of brachycladiids, and nothing is known of intermediate hosts. Cable (1974), who recognised the relationship between acanthocolpids and brachycladiids (as campulids), indicated that he had unpublished information on the life history of Orthosplanchnus fraterculus Odhner, 1905, but all he said was that the cercaria was biocellate, as is found in acanthocolpids. A larger sample of brachycladiids would be needed before decisions should be made on the amalgamation of the families, and priority would be with Brachycladiidae, but the discussion below on the evolution of the group is predicated on the families being related in the topology shown in the phylogram.

The clade (*Tormopsolus*, *Pleorchis*) is not one that would have been predicted by morphology. *Tormopsolus* is morphologically similar to *Stephanostomum* but lacking the enlarged circum-oral spine array characteristic of the latter genus. The life cycle of *Pleorchis* is not known, but a few data on cercariae postulated to belong to *Tormopsolus* are available (see Bartoli and Gibson 1998). The cercariae in question are *Cercaria itoi* Shimura, 1984 (Shimura 1984) and the unnamed cercariae described by Bartoli and Gibson (1998). Both are found in rediae in buccinid prosobranchs, are biocellate and lack both a stylet and enlarged circum-oral spines. They are considered by Bartoli and Gibson (1998), however, to have 'numerous morphological similarities' to other acanthocolpids. Could it be that these cercariae are, in fact, brachycladiids?

Pleorchis is a well defined genus, with distinct autapomorphies (acinous ovary, numerous testes, possibly H-shaped intestine), which some authors have used to differentiate the family Pleorchiidae Poche, 1926. The two species used in this study form a clade. One is the type-species *P. polyorchis* (Stossich, 1889), from the sciaenid *Sciaena umbra* (see Bartoli *et al.* 2004b). Members of the genus are mainly in sciaenid fishes, but some species are reported in other perciforms, including the other species studied which was from a lutjanid (*Pleorchis uku* Yamaguti, 1970 in *Aprion virescens*).

Stephanostomum is also a well defined genus and the forms studied here, from eleven host species, comprise a mo-

nophylum of, probably, nine species. The subclades within Stephanostomum exhibit few biological or morphological apomorphies. This may not be surprising as the sample size is relatively small in relation to the size of the genus. The sister to the remainder of the genus (the most basally derived group) is the group of two forms considered to be members of the species Stephanostomum baccatum (Nicoll, 1907) from a gurnard and a flatfish (the type-host) from the North Sea. Gene sequences for these two specimens are identical for LSU and differ by only 7 bp between SSU rDNA sequences, suggesting strongly that these are in fact the same species; the differences are in one small variable loop region. The most basally derived species in the remainder of the genus is the lutjanid parasite Stephanostomum? uku Yamaguti¹, 1970, from the Great Barrier Reef. Moving through the tree, the next three species are derived in stepwise fashion with no monophyletic groups indicated. Next is the carangid parasite S. tantabiddii Bray et Cribb, 2004 from northern Western Australia. The next two species, although not forming a clade, are both from gadiform families, with S. pristis (Deslongchamps, 1924) from a phycid (this species is mostly reported from gadids with 89% of records - Bray and Cribb 2003) and S. gaidropsari Bartoli et Bray, 2001 from a lotid, both from the western Mediterranean. The remainder of the species form two clades. One is the pairing of S. interruptum Sparks et Thatcher, 1958, from a sciaenid in the Gulf of Mexico and S. minutum (Looss, 1901) from a uranoscopid in the western Mediterranean. The other clade is a group of three forms, two of which have identical sequences and must be considered conspecific. The basal singleton is S. bicoronatum (Stossich, 1883) from a sciaenid in the western Mediterranean. This is a widespread species, mainly of sciaenids (80% of records - Bray and Cribb 2003). Remaining are the two forms of S. cesticillum (Molin, 1858) from the anglerfish (type-host and with 67% of records - Bray and Cribb 2003) and from the zeid, Zeus faber. This fish has occasionally been reported as host of this species (see Bartoli and Bray 2001). As can be seen, there are no discernable patterns of host-relationships or distribution associated with the Stephanostomum species studied. The sample is less than 10% of the nominal species and just this small sample of eleven forms are from ten host families and six host orders. Two sciaenid parasites were studied, but these are in different clades. Two gadiform parasites were studied, and these form a paraphyletic group, which might conceivably be significant. The five perciform parasites do not form a clade. Of the clades, only one (interruptum, minutum) is reported from a single order of fishes, in this case the Perciformes. All the hosts are piscivores, mostly benthic feeders which also take other benthic organisms such as crustaceans. Two hosts, the lutjanid and the carangid, probably take more pelagic organisms. It seems likely that at the parasite species level the host assemblage is related closely to host diet. The two hosts of S. bac-

¹All the available specimens of this species are immature, so a definitive identification is not possible.

catum are benthic feeders with much other benthos in their diet, and the two hosts of *S. cesticillum* are the only ones with a predominantly piscivorous diet.

Few morphological characters are reflected in the putative phylogeny. Bray and Cribb (2003) summarised some major characters of Stephanostomum species, initially dividing the genus by vitellarium type. All the species studied belong in type 1 (<10% of hindbody devoid of follicles) and 2 (>10% of hindbody devoid of follicles). Apart from the forms considered conspecific, none of the clades recovered shared the same vitelline distribution. Circum-oral spine number is an important diagnostic character of Stephanostomum species. The basal species, S. baccatum, the only North Sea species, stands apart from the other species studied in the large number of circum-oral spines (44-58 spines). Only S. tantabiddii approaches this number (38-45). All other species have a spine number between 30 and 36, except for S. interruptum with 22 to 23 spines. Relatively few Stephanostomum species have over 50 circum-oral spines, but the separation of S. bac*catum* may indicate that the genus includes two clades with distinct numbers of circum-oral spines. A distinct ventral hiatus in the circum-oral spine rows appears to be a consistent diagnostic character. This condition is found scattered on the tree, being found in S. tantabiddii, S. interruptum and the clade (bicoronatum, cesticillum). Some Stephanostomum species have a uroproct (i.e. the intestinal caeca open into the posterior part of the excretory system) and it has been suggested (Bray 1985) that this character would be useful in splitting this large genus. This character is found in S. gaidropsari, S. minutum and S. bicoronatum and probably in S. tantabiddii and, therefore, Bartoli and Bray's (2001) conclusion that it was not an indicator of relationships, is borne out by these molecular results.

Unfortunately, it was not possible to extract DNA from specimens of *Neophasis anarrhichae* (Nicoll, 1909) from *Anarhichas lupus* (Halibut Bank, off Shetland Islands, U.K.), so the status of *Neophasis* Stafford, 1904, morphologically similar to *Spinoplagioporus*, cannot be further explored here. Bray and Gibson (1991) produced morphological and life cycle evidence for its inclusion in the Acanthocolpidae. *Neophasis* metacercariae encyst in both teleosts and bivalves, as we now know also happens in *Stephanostomum* (see Madhavi and Shaheem 1993, Pérez-Urbiola and Martínez-Díaz 2001).

Conclusions

There seems little doubt now that the Brachycladiidae and Acanthocolpidae are closely related and have, at least, a common ancestor. It is not surprising that two groups of piscivorous marine hosts should have similar parasites. The question is, were brachycladiids derived from fish parasites, or were acanthocolpids derived from marine mammal parasites? The topology of the Bayesian trees reproduced in Olson *et al.* (2003) suggests the former, with the acanthocolpid/brachy-

cladiid clade being a sister to the opecoelid/opistholebetid fish parasite clade. The topology found in this study (Fig. 1) also suggests that the brachycladiid host group (marine mammals) is derived from a fish (teleost) host. The basal groups in the tree, the Lepocreadioidea, Apocreadioidea and Monorchioidea, are all fish parasites, predominantly marine. Of the two sister groups of the clade including the Acanthocolpidae + Brachycladiidae, one, the Haploporidae + Atractotrematidae, consists solely of fish parasites. The other, the remainder of the Gorgoderoidea sensu Olson et al. (2003) has tetrapod hosts as basal, although fish parasites (including elasmobranch parasites) have, apparently, been derived within it. Within the sister group, the most basal clade, the Allocreadioidea, are fish parasites, whilst the remainder of the sister group are mainly tetrapod parasites, with fish parasites derived within (i.e. Zoogonidae + Faustulidae). Thus, it appears that tetrapod parasites have been derived from fish parasites at the base of the Gorgoderoidea (minus the Haploporidae), the base of the Brachycladiidae (an assumption based on one species) and the base of the higher Xiphidiata (Plagiorchioidea + Microphalloidea). Within these 'higher' digeneans (as elsewhere in the group) host switching has occurred readily and neither in detail, nor in overview, can co-evolution be readily detected (Cribb et al. 2003). Even within the Brachycladiidae the hosts are from two distinct, unrelated, mammalian groups, the Cetacea and the Pinnipedia. Recent studies indicate that cetaceans are related to artiodactyls, particularly hippopotami, and pinnipeds are related to or within the Carnivora (O'Leary et al. 2004). The sequencing of complete SSU and partial LSU rDNA continues to provide valuable phylogenetic information for the Digenea as more taxa are sampled and the monophyly of problematic families is tested. Denser sampling of all groups will provide stronger evidence on the evolution of host-parasite relationships.

Acknowledgements. This research was made possible through funds made available to BLW and DTJL by a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellowship to DTJL (043965). Julia Llewellyn-Hughes and Claire Griffin provided expert technical assistance running the gene sequencers. Much of the parasite material was collected through collecting trips organised by Tom Cribb (funded by the Australian Research Council) and Monty Priede (funded through NERC) and we thank all concerned for assistance in providing specimens. Collecting on Corsica by PB was carried out under the auspices of the Comité Scientifique de la Réserve Naturelle de Scandola.

References

- Bartoli P., Bray R.A. 2001. Contribution to the knowledge of species of the genus *Stephanostomum* Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from teleosts of the Western Mediterranean, with the description of *S. gaidropsari* n. sp. *Systematic Parasitology*, 49, 159–188.
- Bartoli P., Bray R.A. 2004. Ancylocoelium typicum Nicoll, 1912 (Digenea: Monorchiidae), a poorly known parasite of *Trachurus* spp. (Teleostei, Carangidae) from the western Mediterranean and north-eastern Atlantic, and observations on its taxonomic position. Systematic Parasitology, 58, 23–39.

- Bartoli P., Bray R.A., Montero F.E. 2004a. Tormopsolus orientalis Yamaguti, 1934 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from Seriola dumerili (Risso) (Perciformes: Carangidae) in the western Mediterranean Sea. Systematic Parasitology, 57, 201–209.
- Bartoli P., Gibson D.I. 1998. A new acanthocolpid cercaria (Digenea) from *Cantharus dorbignyi* (Prosobranchia) in the western Mediterranean. *Systematic Parasitology*, 40, 175–184.
- Bartoli P., Gibson D.I., Bray R.A. 2004b. Redescription of *Pleorchis* polyorchis (Stossich, 1889) (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae), a rare and poorly known parasite of the intestine of *Sciaena* umbra L. (Perciformes: Sciaenidae) from the western Mediterranean Sea. Systematic Parasitology, 58, 81–90.
- Bray R.A. 1985. Some helminth parasites of marine fishes of South Africa: Families Gorgoderidae, Zoogonidae, Cephaloporidae, Acanthocolpidae and Lepocreadiidae (Digenea). *Journal of Natural History*, 19, 377–405.
- Bray R.A. 2005a. Family Acanthocolpidae Lühe, 1906. In: Keys to the Trematoda. Vol. 2 (Eds. A. Jones, R.A. Bray and D.I. Gibson). CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, Wallingford, 603–619.
- Bray R.A. 2005b. Family Deropristidae Cable & Hunninen, 1942. In: Keys to the Trematoda. Vol. 2 (Eds. A. Jones, R.A. Bray and D.I. Gibson). CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, Wallingford, 653–656.
- Bray R.A. 2005c. Superfamily Lepocreadioidea Odhner, 1905. In: *Keys to the Trematoda*. Vol. 2 (Eds. A. Jones, R.A. Bray and D.I. Gibson). CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, Wallingford, 541–543.
- Bray R.A., Bartoli P. 1996. A redescription of *Lepidauchen steno-stoma* Nicoll, 1913 (Digenea), and a reassessment of the status of the genus *Lepidauchen* Nicoll, 1913. *Systematic Parasitology*, 33, 167–176.
- Bray R.A., Cribb T.H. 2003. Species of *Stephanostomum* Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from fishes of Australian and South Pacific waters, including five new species. *Systematic Parasitology*, 55, 159–197.
- Bray R.A., Cribb T.H., Barker S.C. 1996. *Cableia pudica* n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from monacanthid fishes of the southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Parasite*, 3, 49–54.
- Bray R.A., Gibson D.I. 1991. The Acanthocolpidae (Digenea) of fishes from the north-east Atlantic: the status of *Neophasis* Stafford, 1904 (Digenea) and a study of North Atlantic forms. *Systematic Parasitology*, 19, 95–117.
- Bray R.A., Reimer L.W. 2004. Two species of *Stephanostomum* Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from marine fishes off Namibia, including *S. beukelaardori* n. sp. *Systematic Parasitology*, 58, 209–216.
- Cable R.M. 1974. Phylogeny and taxonomy of trematodes with reference to marine species. In: *Symbiosis in the sea* (Ed. W.B. Weinberg). University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, South Carolina, 173–193.
- Choudhury A., Dick T.A. 1998. Systematics of the Deropristiidae Cable & Hunninen, 1942 (Trematoda) and biogeographical associations with sturgeons (Osteichthyes: Acipenseridae). Systematic Parasitology, 41, 21–39.
- Coil W.H., Kuntz R.E. 1960. Three new genera of trematodes from Pacific sea serpents, *Laticauda colubrina* and *L. semifascia*ta. Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington, 27, 145–150.
- Cribb T.H., Bray R.A., Littlewood D.T.J., Pichelin S., Herniou E.A. 2001. The Digenea. In: *Interrelationships of the Platyhelminthes* (Eds. D.T.J. Littlewood and R.A. Bray). Taylor & Francis, London, 168–185.
- Cribb T.H., Bray R.A., Olson P.D., Littlewood D.T.J. 2003. Life cycle evolution in the Digenea: a new perspective from phylogeny. *Advances in Parasitology*, 54, 197–254.

- Dove A.D.M., Cribb T.H. 1998. Two new genera, *Provitellus* and *Ovipusillus*, and four new species of Monorchiidae (Digenea) from carangid fishes of Queensland, Australia. *Systematic Parasitology*, 40, 21–33.
- Fernández M., Aznar F.J., Latorre A., Raga J.A. 1998a. Molecular phylogeny of the families Campulidae and Nasitrematidae (Trematoda) based on mtDNA sequence comparison. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 28, 767–775.
- Fernández M., Littlewood D.T.J., Latorre A., Raga J.A., Rollinson D. 1998b. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Campulidae (Trematoda) based on 18S rRNA sequences. *Parasitology*, 117, 383–391.
- Froese R., Pauly D. 2005. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org.
- Gaevskaya A.V. 2002. Spinoplagioporus minutus (Trematoda, Enenteridae), a parasite of chimaeras (Chimaeriformes) from the Atlantic Ocean. Vestnik Zoologii, 36, 69–71.
- Gibson D.I. 2002. Trematodes in marine mammals: morphology, systematics and origins. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Congress of Parasitology. Symposia, Workshops and Contributed Papers, Vancouver, August 4–9, 2002.* Monduzzi Editore, Bologna, 59–63.
- Gibson D.I. 2005. Family Brachycladiidae Odhner, 1905. In: Keys to the Trematoda. Vol. 2 (Eds. A. Jones, R.A. Bray and D.I. Gibson). CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, Wallingford, 641–652.
- Gibson D.I., Bray R.A. 1982. A study and reorganization of *Plagioporus* Stafford, 1904 (Digenea: Opecoelidae) and related genera, with special reference to forms from European Atlantic waters. *Journal of Natural History*, 16, 529–559.
- Huelsenbeck J.P. 2000. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY.
- Jones A., Bray R.A., Gibson D.I. 2005. Keys to the Trematoda. Vol. 2. CABI Publishing and The Natural History Museum, Wallingford.
- Køie M. 1978. On the morphology and life-history of *Stephanosto-mum caducum* (Looss 1901) Manter 1934 (Trematoda, Acan-thocolpidae). *Ophelia*, 17, 121–133.
- Littlewood D.T.J., Olson P.D. 2001. Small subunit rDNA and the Platyhelminthes: signal, noise, conflict and compromise. In: *Interrelationships of the Platyhelminthes* (Eds. D.T.J. Littlewood and R.A. Bray). Taylor & Francis, London, 262–278.
- Lühe M. 1906. Report on the trematode parasites from the marine fishes of Ceylon. *Royal Society's Report on the Pearl Oyster*, 5, 97–108.
- Lühe M. 1909. Parasitische Plattwürmer. I: Trematodes. Süsswasserfauna Deutschlands, 17, 1–217.
- Machida M., Kamegai S. 1997. Digenean trematodes from deep-sea fishes of Suruga Bay, Central Japan. *National Science Muse*um Monographs, 12, 19–30.
- MacKenzie K., Liversidge J.M. 1975. Some aspects of the biology of the cercaria and metacercaria of *Stephanostomum baccatum* (Nicoll, 1907) Manter, 1934 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae). *Journal of Fish Biology*, 7, 247–256.
- Maddison W.P., Maddison D.R. 2000. MacClade. Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Madhavi R., Shaheem U. 1993. Cercariae and metacercariae of Stephanostomum cloacum (Trematoda: Acanthocolpidae). International Journal for Parasitology, 23, 341–347.
- Martin W.E. 1939. Studies on the trematodes of Woods Hole. II. The life cycle of Stephanostomum tenue (Linton). Biological Bulletin. Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, 77, 65–73.
- McGladdery S.E. 1999. Stephanostomum tenue in marine aquaculture of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). ICES Identi-

fication Leaflets for Diseases and Parasites of Fish and Shellfish, 51, 1–4.

- O'Leary M., Allard M., Novacek M.J., Meng J., Gatesy J. 2004. Building the mammalian sector of the Tree of Life: combining different data and a discussion of divergence times for placental mammals. In: Assembling the Tree of Life (Eds. J. Cracraft and M.J. Donoghue). Oxford University Press, New York, 490–516.
- Oliveira K., Campbell R.A. 1998. The occurrence and pathological effects of *Stephanostomum tenue* (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) metacercariae in elvers of the American eel. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 53, 690–692.
- Olson P.D., Cribb T.H., Tkach V.V., Bray R.A., Littlewood D.T.J. 2003. Phylogeny and classification of the Digenea (Platyhelminthes: Trematoda). *International Journal for Parasitology*, 33, 733–755.
- Pérez-Ponce de León G., Ramírez Lezama J. 1991. Hallazgo de Zalophotrema hepaticum (Trematoda: Campulidae) parásito del lobo marino Zalophus californianus. Anales del Instituto de Biología. Universidad Nacional Autonóma de México. Ser. Zoología, 62, 141–144.
- Pérez-Urbiola J.C., Martínez-Díaz S.F. 2001. Stephanostomum sp. (Trematoda: Acanthocolpidae), the cause of 'pimientilla' disease in catarina scallop Argopecten ventricosus (circularis) (Sowerby II, 1842) in Baja California Sur, México. Journal of Shellfish Research, 20, 107–109.
- Posada D., Crandall K.A. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics*, 14, 817–818.
- Shimazu T. 1992. Trematodes of the genera Asymphylodora, Anapalaeorchis and Palaeorchis (Digenea: Lissorchiidae) from

freshwater fishes of Japan. *Journal of Nagano Prefectural College*, 47, 1–19.

- Shimura S. 1984. A new species of marine cercaria *Cercaria itoi* sp. nov. from the spindle shell *Fusinus perplexus* from Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan. *Fish Pathology*, 18, 179–183.
- Sogandares-Bernal F. 1959. Digenetic trematodes of marine fishes from the Gulf of Panama and Bimini, British West Indies. *Tulane Studies in Zoology*, 7, 69–117.
- Sommerville C. 1981. A comparative study of the tissue response to invasion and encystment by *Stephanochasmus baccatum* (Nicoll, 1907) (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) in four species of flatfish. *Journal of Fish Diseases*, 4, 53–68.
- Stunkard H.W. 1961. Cercaria dipterocerca Miller and Northup, 1926 and Stephanostomum dentatum (Linton, 1900) Manter, 1931. Biological Bulletin. Marine Biological Laboratory, 120, 221–237.
- Stunkard H.W., Alvey C.H. 1930. The morphology of *Zalophotrema hepaticum*, with a review of the trematode family Fasciolidae. *Parasitology*, 22, 326–333.
- Swofford D.L. 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Wolfgang R.W. 1955. Studies on the trematode Stephanostomum baccatum (Nicoll, 1907). III. Its life cycle. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 33, 113–128.
- Yamaguti S. 1958. Systema helminthum. Vol. I. The digenetic trematodes of vertebrates. Interscience Publishers, New York.
- Yamaguti S. 1971. Synopsis of digenetic trematodes of vertebrates. Keigaku Publishing Co., Tokyo.

(Accepted October 18, 2005)